



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1093/inthealth/ihy013](https://doi.org/10.1093/inthealth/ihy013)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Igwesi-Chidobe, C. N., Kengne, A. P., Sorinola, I. O., & Godfrey, E. L. (2018). Physical activity containing behavioural interventions for adults living with modifiable chronic non-communicable diseases in Africa: A systematic mixed-studies review. *International Health*, 10(3), 137-148. <https://doi.org/10.1093/inthealth/ihy013>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Physical activity containing behavioural interventions for adults living with modifiable chronic non-communicable diseases in Africa: A systematic mixed-studies review

*****Chinonso N Igwesi-Chidobe ^{1,2}, Andre P Kengne ^{3,4}, Isaac O Sorinola ² and Emma L Godfrey ^{2,5}

¹University of Nigeria, Department of Medical Rehabilitation, Faculty of Health sciences and Technology, College of Medicine, Enugu Campus, NIGERIA.

²King's College London, Department of Physiotherapy, School of Population health sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences and Medicine, LONDON, UK.

³South African Medical Research Council, Non-Communicable Diseases Research Unit, Cape Town, SOUTH AFRICA.

⁴University of Cape Town, Department of Medicine, Groote Schuur Hospital, Cape Town, SOUTH AFRICA.

⁵King's College London, Department of Psychology, Institute of Psychology, Psychiatry and Neuroscience, LONDON, UK.

*****Corresponding author: E-mail: chinonso.chidobe@unn.edu.ng

Abstract

Background

Physical activity improves physiological, cognitive and psychosocial functioning in chronic non-communicable diseases (NCDs). This study reviewed papers on effects and patients' experiences of physical activity interventions for chronic NCDs in Africa.

Methods

We conducted a systematic review of clinical and qualitative studies by searching eight bibliographic databases and grey literature until April 19, 2017. The mixed-methods appraisal and Cochrane Collaboration's tools were used for quality and risk of bias assessments. Three-stage sequential explanatory syntheses was done.

Results

One randomised controlled trial (RCT), 2 non-controlled before-and-after studies, and 2 qualitative studies of diabetic South African and Reunion patients were included. Exercise and sports unrelated with home and occupational activities were increased in the long term (1 year: moderate quality evidence) and short term immediately after a 4-week intervention (low quality evidence). There was conflicting evidence of intervention effects on home and occupational physical activities. Behaviour change techniques improving chronic disease knowledge, addressing environmental barriers and stimulating/supporting physical activity were important to patients. Procedure-related components – health professional training and adequate health facility were important to patients but were not addressed.

Conclusion

High quality RCTs are needed to confirm the intervention components for improving physical activity for chronic NCD management in Africa.

Key words: Physical activity, Behaviour change, Chronic non-communicable diseases, Diabetes, Africa

Introduction

Eighty percent of chronic non-communicable disease (NCD) mortality occurs in developing countries, particularly in Africa¹. The commonest NCDs include cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, cancer and chronic respiratory diseases², with positive correlation between NCDs and bone and joint disorders³. Obesity is a common risk factor for NCDs, and a multifactorial link exists between obesity and chronic pain including genetic, metabolic, psychological and mechanical factors⁴⁻⁶. Additionally, chronic pain and exercise incapacity are associated with NCDs⁷; for example people with chronic back pain have a greater risk of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality⁸.

Evidence-based treatment guidelines for NCDs recommend physical activity to improve physiological, cognitive, emotional, social and psychosocial functioning, alter health beliefs, increase acceptance of chronic disease, and reduce disability⁹⁻¹⁹. Systematic reviews suggest that specific interventions can increase physical activity²⁰. This reverses or reduces chronic disease progression and premature deaths²¹, and can reduce the pain and disability associated with chronic musculoskeletal pain^{18, 22, 23}.

For adults aged 18 to 64 years, the World Health Organisation recommends 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity or at least 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity throughout the week, or an equivalent combination of moderate-intensity and vigorous-intensity physical activity; plus muscle strengthening activities involving major muscle groups done on 2 or more days a week²⁴. However, independent of gender, ethnicity and income, more than half of all adults in Africa are inactive²⁵.

NCDs require ongoing management over years or decades, and need a coordinated health care model centred on patients' circumstances to facilitate self-management skills and behaviour change ²⁶. However, behaviour patterns are deeply embedded in people's cultural, social and economic characteristics²⁷, and hence partly controlled by intrapsychic and external factors ²⁸, irrespective of the chronic condition ²⁹.

For the purposes of this study, behaviour-change interventions are coordinated activities designed to change particular behaviours ²⁸. They are often complex comprising many interacting components ^{30, 31}. Behaviour change intervention components are the techniques which constitute the active ingredients of the intervention, and the procedures used to deliver those techniques³¹. Behaviour change techniques (BCTs) are replicable components of an intervention designed to alter/redirect causal processes that regulate behaviour with minimum delivery specifications that allow their identification^{31, 32}. They are useful for identifying and standardising the reporting of complex interventions in systematic reviews ^{31, 32}. Procedures include who delivers the intervention, to whom, how often, for how long, in what format, and in what context ^{31, 33}. Physical activity is any bodily movement resulting from the contraction of skeletal muscles that leads to energy expenditure ³⁴.

No systematic review has examined the effects of behaviour change interventions on physical activity behaviour of people living with chronic NCDs in Africa; patients' experiences of these interventions; and to what extent interventions addressed patients' concerns. These are the objectives of this study.

Methods

Search strategy and selection criteria

This systematic review was registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (CRD42015016084) and the protocol published³⁵. Following PRISMA guidelines³⁶, we searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, PsycINFO, CENTRAL, CINAHL, Web of Science, African Index Medicus (AIM), and AFROLIB (WHO's regional office database for Africa) from their inception dates up to April 19, 2017.

We used a combination of Medical Subject Headings, free text terms and word variants for *hypertension, diabetes, obesity and chronic musculoskeletal pain* conditions, *African search filter*³⁷, word variants for *physical activity related therapies*, and the search filters for three study types – *clinical trials, qualitative and observational studies* (supplemental file). We also searched grey literature as described in the protocol³⁵. These conditions were selected because they are the most prevalent in Africa^{1, 38}, and may be the most easily modified by physical activity. Lung diseases were not included because we envisaged that a significant proportion may be secondary to communicable diseases such as tuberculosis.

The reference lists of relevant systematic reviews were appraised for potentially eligible studies.

There was no restriction by language or intervention settings. Studies were selected if they involved adults (≥18 years) living in Africa with hypertension, diabetes, obesity or chronic musculoskeletal pain.

There were no restrictions on study design, comparators and timing. Clinical studies (Randomised Controlled Trials – RCTs, non-controlled before and after – B/A studies, mixed-methods B/A studies) were included if they investigated the effects of physical activity interventions on physical activity behaviour. Qualitative studies were included if they explored experiences and perspectives of individuals to these interventions. Physical activity behaviour change was the primary outcome evaluated in this review. We excluded studies of African patients not living in Africa; studies without a physical activity intervention, physical activity behavioural assessment or any primary data; and duplicate publications.

We selected studies in two stages. In the first stage, CNI-C (first author) screened titles and abstracts using the eligibility criteria to identify potentially relevant articles. This was cross-checked by ELG (last author), APK (second author) and IOS (third author). In the second stage, screening of full articles was done independently by CNI-C, ELG and IOS. Disagreements were resolved by consultation with APK. Further details are in the review protocol³⁵ and the flow chart (Figure 1).

Data extraction and quality assessment

This was done by CNI-C, and cross-checked independently by ELG and IOS. Disagreements were resolved by consultation with APK. The data collection process is detailed in the protocol³⁵. Intervention descriptions were recorded as described by primary authors and subsequently coded with the taxonomy of hierarchically clustered BCTs³⁰ using the definition of Michie, Abraham³¹ and Whitlock, Orleans³³. Consensus agreement in coding was achieved via group discussion of the multidisciplinary review team.

We assessed the quality of all studies with the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) ³⁹ as described in the review protocol ³⁵. For RCTs, quality criteria included appropriate random sequence generation/clear description of randomisation, allocation concealment or blinding, complete outcome data ($\geq 80\%$), and low drop-out rate ($< 20\%$). For non-controlled B/A studies, the criteria were low selection bias in recruiting participants representative of the population; valid and reliable outcome measures; accounting for confounding and co-variate variables; complete outcome data ($\geq 80\%$), acceptable response rate ($\geq 60\%$) and follow-up rate. For mixed-methods B/A studies, the overall quality score is the lowest score of the study components. For qualitative studies, the criteria were adequate/relevant sources of qualitative data; qualitative data analysis addressed research objectives; consideration was given to how results were related to context; and consideration was given to how findings related to researcher's influence ³⁹.

A total score for each study was based on the number of met criteria (Tables 1 and 3).

Data analyses

Three stage analyses were carried out using a mixed studies synthesis design – the sequential explanatory synthesis ⁴⁰.

First stage: narrative synthesis of clinical studies

Different methods and outcomes employed by studies precluded meta-analysis. There was varied intervention content, objectives, study designs, study quality, chronic condition, sample size, gender, age, outcome measures and contexts. Clinical studies were therefore summarised using narrative synthesis to determine the effects of interventions on physical activity behaviour. For comparability of results from RCTs and B/A studies, within-

group pre-and post-physical activity change score effect sizes were calculated for each clinical study.

The overall strength of evidence was judged using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach as described in the review protocol ³⁵.

Second stage: thematic synthesis of qualitative studies

Qualitative studies were reviewed to explore patients' experiences/perspectives of these interventions (no eligible observational study was identified). NVivo version 10 software (QSR international, Melbourne, Australia) was used.

Thematic synthesis is the method that fits with the aims of this review ⁴¹ because it answers questions relating to intervention need, appropriateness, acceptability and effectiveness without compromising on key principles of systematic reviews ^{42, 43}. We used descriptive line-by-line coding to generate categories. Categories were then organised into related areas to construct descriptive themes. Finally, higher order analytical themes were developed through interpretation and abstraction of descriptive themes. Each stage of qualitative synthesis was validated by the review team comparing generated categories/themes with primary results.

Third stage: comparative analysis of clinical and qualitative studies

A comparative analysis was done to determine to what extent clinical studies addressed patients' needs and concerns identified in the qualitative studies.

Results

Overview of the searches

Figure 1 shows detailed overview of the searches; Table 1 – characteristics of clinical studies and quantitative aspect of mixed-methods studies; Table 2 – characteristics of qualitative studies and qualitative aspect of mixed-methods studies; Table 3 – standardised intervention description (intervention components) provided by the reviewers; Table 4 – comparative analysis of clinical and qualitative results; supplemental table – intervention description and outcomes reported by primary authors.

Five independent articles, all of which involved patients with diabetes mellitus were eligible: 1 RCT= Debussche et al, 2012 ⁴⁶; 1 B/A study= Rotheram-Borus et al, 2012 ⁴⁷; 1 mixed-methods B/A study= van der Does and Mash, 2013 ⁴⁴; 2 qualitative studies= Serfontein and Mash, 2013 ⁴⁸ and Balcou-Debussche and Debussche, 2009 ⁴⁹ (Tables 1 and 2). van der Does and Mash ⁴⁴ included clinical and qualitative arms, and therefore contributed to the number of both clinical and qualitative studies. The reference list of the relevant systematic review ⁴⁵ did not yield additional studies.

Figure 1: Flow diagram of the selection process of studies for inclusion in the review

Overview and methodological quality of included studies

Identified studies were published between 2009 and 2014 from South Africa (3 studies) and Reunion (2 studies). Reunion is in the African search filter due to its geographical location in Eastern Africa in the Indian ocean, east of Madagascar and southwest of Mauritius ³⁵. There was a total of 517 participants, ranging between 13 to 398 participants in individual studies.

The proportion of women ranged from 38% to 100%. Settings included rural (n=1), urban (n=2) and mixed (n=2) (Tables 1 and 2).

For the methodological quality of the clinical studies, Debussche et al, 2012⁴⁶ and van der Does and Mash⁴⁴ were 75%; whereas quality was 50% in Rotheram-Borus et al, 2012⁴⁷ (Table 1). Debussche et al, 2012⁴⁶ had usual care control group; whereas there were no control groups in van der Does and Mash⁴⁴ and Rotheram-Borus et al, 2012⁴⁷ (supplemental table). Debussche et al, 2012⁴⁶ was low risk for bias due to blinding of patients, complete outcome data and non-selective reporting, but it was unclear whether outcome assessors were blinded. van der Does and Mash⁴⁴, and Rotheram-Borus et al, 2012⁴⁷ were high risk for bias due to lack of random sequence generation, allocation concealment and blinding of outcome assessment, but they were low risk for bias due to incomplete outcome data and selective reporting. For the methodological quality of the qualitative studies, Serfontein and Mash, 2013⁴⁸ and Balcou-Debussche and Debussche, 2009⁴⁹ were 100% (Table 2). The quality of van der Does and Mash⁴⁴ is denoted above.

Interventions in clinical studies

Debussche et al, 2012⁴⁶ combined educational sessions and physical activity performance. van der Does and Mash⁴⁴ had interventions only comprising interactive educational sessions. Rotheram-Borus et al, 2012⁴⁷ combined psychoeducational sessions, with mobile phone-based peer support, and was the only study with a theory based intervention: power-to-prevent programme (Supplemental Table).

Table 3 shows a total of 18 BCTs. Intervention providers included peers⁴⁷, educators⁴⁶, health promoters⁴⁴, dieticians^{44, 46}, nurses⁴⁶, exercise physiologists⁴⁶, and a medical doctor⁴⁴. The

duration of interventions ranged between 4 weeks and 9 months. Intervention frequency ranged from once every week to once every 3 months. Session duration was around one hour, although it was unspecified in most studies. Interventions were delivered in primary care centres ^{44, 47}; or tertiary hospital ⁴⁶ (Table 3).

Outcome measurement

All studies utilised different self-report measures and none used objective or direct measures of physical activity.

In Debussche et al, 2012 ⁴⁶, physical activity was assessed by the adaptation of a validated questionnaire ⁵⁰, calculating occupational and home physical activity scores based on the mean of five items dealing with the frequency of sitting, standing, walking, lifting heavy loads and sweating during activity. Additionally, sports activity was measured by combining intensity (millijoules per hour i.e. energy expended during the activity per hour), time spent each week (number of hours doing the physical activity per week), and perseverance (number of months that the activity was performed per year). van der Does and Mash ⁴⁴ used the Summary of validated Diabetes Self-Care Activities questionnaire that assessed diet, physical activity, medication taking, blood-glucose testing, foot care and smoking ⁵¹. The physical activity subscale included 2 items: *‘on how many of the last SEVEN DAYS did you participate in at least 30 minutes of physical activity? (Total minutes of continuous activity)’* and *‘on how many of the last SEVEN DAYS did you participate in a specific exercise session other than what you do around the house or as part of your work?’*. Rotheram-Borus et al, 2012 ⁴⁷ measured physical activity using the number of steps taken per day.

Table 1: Characteristics of clinical studies

Table 2: Characteristics of qualitative and mixed-methods studies

Table 3: Intervention components in the clinical studies

Effects of interventions on physical activity

Mixed effects of interventions on physical activity behaviour were found.

In Debussche et al, 2012 ⁴⁶ (n=398; quality=75%; validated habitual physical activity questionnaire incorporating home, leisure, occupational and sports measure ⁵⁰), the physical activity intervention involving combined interactive educational sessions about diabetes and performance of physical activities during workshops, significantly increased sports activity at one year follow-up (effect size = 0.4). However, occupational and home physical activity did not differ from baseline. Patients were then split into intervention and control groups. A subsequent quarterly outpatients counselling visits provided to the intervention group did not provide additional benefit over the control group (supplemental table).

In van der Does and Mash ⁴⁴ (n=84; quality=75%; physical activity subscale of validated Diabetes Self-Care Activities questionnaire which assessed housework, work activities and specific exercise ⁵¹), the physical activity intervention only comprising interactive educational sessions about physical activity significantly increased continuous physical activity (at least 30 minutes) outside of home, and occupational activities immediately after the four-week intervention (effect size = 0.6) (supplemental table).

The intervention in Rotheram-Borus et al, 2012 ⁴⁷ (n=22; quality=50%; physical activity measure= number of steps taken daily), combined psychoeducational sessions about physical activity, with mobile phone-based peer support, and did not increase physical activity, but reduced number of steps from 1931.8 at baseline to 1559.8 at 6 months follow-up (effect size = - 0.2) (supplemental table).

Experiences and perspectives of patients to physical activity interventions

Three themes emerged:

Increasing biomedical understanding of chronic condition – diabetes

Organised, simple and comprehensive structured educational programmes covering all aspects of **patients'** chronic condition ⁴⁴ including management strategies ⁴⁸ were important to **participants**⁴⁸. Recapping previous educational sessions enhanced recall ⁴⁸. Communication styles incorporating motivational interviewing principles such as empathy, liveliness, inclusiveness ⁴⁸, and collaborative group sessions enhanced understanding ^{48, 49}.

Self-help educational materials such as handouts, flip charts, booklets and posters enhanced understanding and recall, and made the sessions more interesting ^{44, 48, 49}. Health education delivered within mainstream health care settings bestowed value and legitimacy to self-management recommendations ⁴⁹.

Addressing **physical and social environmental barriers**

Adverse environmental conditions and social stressors may limit physical activity despite sufficient biomedical knowledge of a chronic condition ^{44, 48, 49}. Examples include unsafe environments, poor timing of physical activity ⁴⁸; family problems, stressful **work conditions** ^{44, 48, 49}.

Social support facilitated through the health professional and other patients in group sessions may mitigate stressors (*"...when I sit with other people, I feel better"* ^{44, 48}). Combined group and individual sessions may be superior to group sessions, due to privacy concerns ⁴⁴.

Strategies stimulating/supporting physical activity behaviour change

Physical activity behaviour may be influenced by motivational interviewing techniques, such as eliciting talk about change, using enthusiastic communication styles and encouraging group solutions^{44, 48}. Emphasising chronic disease complications may further increase the motivation to improve physical activity⁴⁴.

Actual performance of the desired physical activity may increase physical activity through increasing self-efficacy⁴⁴. Untrained health professionals operating within a biomedical health care system were more likely to be impatient with patients, damaging patient-health professional relationship^{44, 49}. These health professionals undervalue the skills needed for administering behaviour change interventions, lack ownership, doubt effectiveness of programmes and are poorly motivated, which might be alleviated by providing ongoing training and support⁴⁴.

Potentially limiting behaviour change were inadequate venues or programmes that were not integrated into routine care⁴⁸. Unintegrated programmes meant that there were conflicting opinions about who should lead interventions⁴⁴ and timing problems⁴⁸. Patients wanted ongoing programmes with the possibility of repeat sessions, with specific dates and times that aligned with other hospital appointments/daily activities; and programmes delivered by specifically trained health professionals⁴⁴.

The extent to which interventions addressed patients' concerns

Table 4 shows that no clinical study targeted any of the procedure-related intervention components identified in the qualitative studies. All clinical studies^{44, 46, 47} adopted techniques

that ‘increased patients’ biomedical understanding of their chronic condition’ (BCT: Shaping knowledge). However, none used self-help educational materials.

Debussche et al, 2012⁴⁶ addressed both physical and social environmental barriers (BCTs: Restructuring the physical and social environments). Rotheram-Borus et al, 2012⁴⁷ addressed only social factors (BCTs: Social support (practical), Social support (general), Social support (emotional), Regulate negative emotions) (Table 4).

In Debussche et al, 2012⁴⁶, there was performance of physical activity during educational sessions (BCTs: Behavioral rehearsal/practice and habit formation). In van der Does and Mash⁴⁴, complications of the chronic condition was emphasized (BCT: Health consequences) (Table 4).

Table 4: Comparative analysis of clinical and qualitative results

Discussion

Summary of main results

All studies involved patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, with one patient having type 1 diabetes mellitus in Rotheram-Borus et al, 2012⁴⁷. No study of patients with hypertension, obesity or chronic musculo-skeletal condition could be included in this review. Therefore, results can only be very tentative based on the limited evidence available to this review.

Moderate quality of evidence suggested that exercises and sports performance not associated with home and occupational activities were increased in the long term – 1 year (in terms of frequency per week, energy expended per hour, number of hours per week and

number of months the activities were performed per year). Low quality of evidence suggested that exercises and sports activities increased in the short term – immediately after a 4-week intervention (in terms of total minutes of continuous activity) ^{44, 46}. There was conflicting evidence on the effects of interventions on home and occupational physical activities ^{44, 47}.

This review could not confirm the BCTs responsible for improvements in physical activity because primary clinical studies did not investigate this. Despite possible links between engagement with physical activity interventions and physical activity behaviour change ⁵², no study examined this. Furthermore, it was not possible to undertake a meta-regression to determine the intervention components for improving physical activity due to the limited number and low quality of studies.

A meta-regression of clinical studies in high income countries found that interventions that combined self-monitoring of behaviour with at least one of four other self-regulatory techniques such as prompting intention formation, providing feedback on performance, prompting specific goal setting, and prompting review of behavioural goals were significantly more effective than other interventions in improving physical activity and healthy eating ⁵³. However, that meta-regression did not thoroughly investigate the impact of procedure-related intervention components ⁵³. The impact of theoretical constructs on physical activity behaviour could not be ascertained in the current review because only Rotheram-Borus et al, 2012 ⁴⁷ had a theory-informed intervention and did not measure theoretical constructs ⁴⁷. Evidence suggests that no theory is superior in influencing physical activity, although interventions based on the social cognitive theory produced the greatest effect sizes ⁵⁴. However, none of the studies was from an African setting.

The BCTs in Debussche et al, 2012 ⁴⁶ and van der Does and Mash ⁴⁴ which improved physical activity in the long and short term respectively, included self-monitoring of behaviour and at least one other self-regulatory technique. Providing feedback on behaviour and prompting goal setting were two other self-regulatory techniques in Debussche et al, 2012 ⁴⁶. Prompting goal setting was one other self-regulatory technique in van der Does and Mash ⁴⁴. The techniques in Rotheram-Borus et al, 2012 ⁴⁷, which did not improve physical activity, did not include self-monitoring of behaviour but provided feedback on behaviour and prompted goal setting.

In the comparative analysis in Table 4, Debussche et al, 2012 ⁴⁶ was the only clinical study that reported physical activity changes in the long term (1 year), and used techniques addressing all three themes identified in the qualitative studies. van der Does and Mash ⁴⁴, which reported increases in physical activity in the short term, addressed two of the three themes but did not tackle environmental and social barriers. Rotheram-Borus et al, 2012 ⁴⁷, which found no improvements in the number of steps taken daily, utilised BCTs that targeted two of the three themes but did not use BCTs 'stimulating/supporting physical activity' (Table 4).

No intervention addressed any procedure-related intervention component identified as important in the qualitative studies (Table 4). Fidelity was not assessed in any study, and untrained health professionals may have limited the extent to which identified BCTs were delivered with fidelity. Although Debussche et al, 2012 ⁴⁶ mentioned training health professionals in counselling, there were no details recorded of this training, and no assessment of intervention fidelity ⁴⁶.

Although some clinical studies on obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension identified during this review included behaviour change intervention components, and measured clinical outcomes such as blood pressure and fasting blood glucose, they were excluded because physical activity was not measured in any of them (Figure 1). This highlights the key emphasis on biomedical rather than behavioural outcomes in Africa which may prevent successful behaviour change. No study on chronic musculoskeletal pain was identified at all, highlighting the limited focus of physical activity behaviour change for chronic musculoskeletal pain in Africa. Systematic reviews of studies conducted in high income countries suggest that exercise supervision, participation in an exercise programme, accuracy of exercise performance, participation in a motivational behaviour change programme, refresher/booster/follow-up sessions, supplementing face-to-face verbal instructions with other audio/visual/written material, reward and punishment strategies, goal setting, feedback, group discussion, problem solving to overcome barriers to adherence, self-monitoring through the use of an exercise plan/exercise contract/exercise logbook, education about pathology and how to manage/cope with symptoms, assessing community resources/social networks, effective communication, reinforcement and feedback, and cognitive behavioural strategies may improve physical activity in patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain ⁵⁵⁻⁵⁸. Most of these components were identified in this review, suggesting their significance in other chronic conditions.

Measurement of physical activity

Lack of direct or objective physical activity measurement in studies is a potential weakness ³⁴. Although self-report physical activity measures are the most practical and cost-effective approach to physical activity measurement, including both direct/objective and self-report

measures may account for the weaknesses of both measurement types. For instance, high sensitivity to small errors and measurement of non-physical activity energy expenditure are associated with direct/objective physical activity measures ³⁴. Greater estimation of high intensity/vigorous physical activity than low to moderate physical activity, plus recall and social desirability bias are associated with self-report physical activity measures ³⁴.

The physical activity measurement in [Debussche et al, 2012](#) ⁴⁶ acknowledged work activities, housework, sports and leisure activities within the context of frequency, intensity, duration, and overall physical activity over time, concurring with the World Health Organisation recommendations ²⁴. In [van der Does and Mash](#) ⁴⁴, physical activity was measured within the context of housework, work activities and specific exercise, taking into consideration frequency and duration but not intensity. In [Rotheram-Borus et al, 2012](#) ⁴⁷, the measurement of physical activity only using the number of steps taken per day, was the least validated of the measures as it did not consider duration, intensity, and physical activity that does not involve taking steps.

Strengths and Limitations

This review combined the strengths [inherent in](#) quantitative and qualitative studies thereby compensating for their respective limitations. However, the generalisability of findings is limited by both the quality of evidence and paucity of studies conducted in only a few countries. Only studies of patients with diabetes mellitus in South Africa and Reunion were eligible, limiting generalisability. [Although Reunion is classified within Eastern Africa, it is a French overseas territory, also including Europeans, Indians and Chinese, which is a limitation.](#) [Many](#) interventions were [not](#) physical activity-specific, and [were](#) very complex, containing dietary and pharmacological elements, which may have influenced outcomes. [Important](#)

intervention components were derived from a few studies. Suboptimal physical activity measurement could have influenced outcomes.

Conclusions

Limited evidence suggested that physical activity unrelated with home and occupational activities were improved; and that self-monitoring of physical activity may be associated with this improvement. Inconclusive evidence was found for home and occupational physical activity. Patients appreciated behaviour change techniques that improved chronic disease knowledge, addressed environmental barriers and supported physical activity. Procedure-related components including health professional training and adequate health facility were not tackled by any study despite being important to patients.

High quality RCTs in Africa should test interventions delivered by trained health professionals, and focusing only on physical activity, assessed with validated measures; and link this to short and long term behavioural/biomedical outcomes. This will improve the evidence for these interventions in Africa. These behaviour change interventions need to be patient-centred by being responsive to patients' concerns, preferences and needs.

Authors' contributions

CNI-C conceived of the study, developed the search strategy, pilot searched the databases, selected studies, and synthesized data. CNI-C, ELG, APK and IOS contributed to further development of the review protocol, selection criteria, quality assessment, the risk of bias assessment, data extraction and analyses. CNI-C prepared the draft manuscript. All authors contributed to a critical revision of the manuscript. CNI-C prepared the final manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript. CNI-C is the guarantor.

Funding

This work was supported by the University of Nigeria and the Schlumberger faculty for the future fellowship, The Netherlands.

Competing interests

None declared

Ethical approval

Not required

References

1. Abegunde DO, Mathers CD, Adam T, Ortegon M, Strong K. The burden and costs of chronic diseases in low-income and middle-income countries. *The Lancet* 2007; **370**(9603): 1929-38.
2. Gaziano TA, Galea G, Reddy KS. Scaling up interventions for chronic disease prevention: the evidence. *The Lancet* 2007; **370**(9603): 1939-46.
3. McVinnie DS. Obesity and pain. *British Journal of Pain* 2013; **7**(4): 163-70.
4. CDC. Obesity: Halting the Epidemic by Making Health Easier. May 26, 2011 2011. <http://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/resources/publications/AAG/obesity.htm> (accessed 03/12 2014).
5. Somers TJ, Wren AA, Keefe FJ. Understanding chronic pain in older adults: Abdominal fat is where it is at. *Pain* 2011; **152**(1): 8-9.
6. Ray L, Lipton RB, Zimmerman ME, Katz MJ, Derby CA. Mechanisms of association between obesity and chronic pain in the elderly. *Pain* 2011; **152**(1): 53-9.
7. Dean E, Söderlund A. What is the role of lifestyle behaviour change associated with non-communicable disease risk in managing musculoskeletal health conditions with special reference to chronic pain? *BMC musculoskeletal disorders* 2015; **16**(1): 1.
8. Hoy D, Brooks P, Blyth F, Buchbinder R. The epidemiology of low back pain. *Best practice & research Clinical rheumatology* 2010; **24**(6): 769-81.
9. Brosseau L, Wells GA, Tugwell P, et al. Ottawa panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for therapeutic exercises and manual therapy in the management of osteoarthritis. *Phys Ther* 2005; **85**(9): 907-71.
10. Mancia G, Fagard R, Narkiewicz K, et al. 2013 ESH/ESC Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension The Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *European Heart Journal* 2013; **34**(28): 2159-219.
11. Rydén L, Standl E, Bartnik M, et al. Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases: executive summary The Task Force on Diabetes and Cardiovascular Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *European heart journal* 2007; **28**(1): 88-136.
12. Smith SC, Benjamin EJ, Bonow RO, et al. AHA/ACCF Secondary Prevention and Risk Reduction Therapy for Patients With Coronary and Other Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2011 Update A

Guideline From the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology Foundation Endorsed by the World Heart Federation and the Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association. *Journal of the American college of cardiology* 2011; **58**(23): 2432-46.

13. Rock CL, Doyle C, Demark-Wahnefried W, et al. Nutrition and physical activity guidelines for cancer survivors. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2012; **62**(4): 242-74.
14. NICE. Low back pain: Early management of persistent non-specific low back pain. In: Care NCCfP, editor. London: NHS; 2009.
15. Airaksinen O, Brox JJ, Cedraschi C, et al. Chapter 4. European guidelines for the management of chronic nonspecific low back pain. *Eur Spine J* 2006; **15 Suppl 2**: S192-300.
16. Freburger JK, Carey TS, Holmes GM, et al. Exercise prescription for chronic back or neck pain: who prescribes it? who gets it? What is prescribed? *Arthritis Rheum* 2009; **61**(2): 192-200.
17. Chou R, Qaseem A, Snow V, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: a joint clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American Pain Society. *Ann Intern Med* 2007; **147**(7): 478-91.
18. Zhang W, Nuki G, Moskowitz R, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis: part III: Changes in evidence following systematic cumulative update of research published through January 2009. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* 2010; **18**(4): 476-99.
19. General TRACo, Practitioners. Guidelines for the non-surgical management of hip and knee osteoarthritis 2009. (accessed).
20. Kahn EB, Ramsey LT, Brownson RC, et al. The effectiveness of interventions to increase physical activity: A systematic review^{1, 2} 1The names and affiliations of the Task Force members are listed in the front of this supplement and at www.thecommunityguide.org. 2Address correspondence and reprint requests to: Peter A. Briss, MD, Community Guide Branch, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 4770 Buford Highway, MS-K73, Atlanta, GA 30341. E-mail: PBriss@cdc.gov. *American journal of preventive medicine* 2002; **22**(4): 73-107.
21. Warburton DE, Nicol CW, Bredin SS. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. *Can Med Assoc J* 2006; **174**(6): 801-9.
22. Hayden JA, van Tulder MW, Malmivaara AV, Koes BW. Meta-analysis: exercise therapy for nonspecific low back pain.[Summary for patients in *Ann Intern Med*. 2005 May 3;142(9):171; PMID: 15867402]. *Ann Intern Med* 2005; **142**(9): 765-75.
23. Oesch P, Kool J, Hagen KB, Bachmann S. Effectiveness of exercise on work disability in patients with non-acute non-specific low back pain: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *J Rehabil Med* 2010; **42**(3): 193-205.
24. World Health Organization. Global recommendations on Physical Activity for health: World Health Organization; 2010.
25. World Health Organisation. Physical activity. World Health Organisation, Regional office for Africa: World Health Organisation, Regional office for Africa, 2015.
26. World Health Organization. Preparing a health care workforce for the 21st century: the challenge of chronic conditions. 2005.
27. NICE. Guidelines for Behaviour change at population, community and individual levels, (Public Health Guidance 6). In: (NICE) NifHaCE, editor. <http://www.nice.org.uk/Guidance/PH6>; 2007.
28. Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: a new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implement Sci* 2011; **6**(1): 42.
29. Geidl W, Semrau J, Pfeifer K. Health behaviour change theories: contributions to an ICF-based behavioural exercise therapy for individuals with chronic diseases. *Disabil Rehabil* 2014; **(0)**: 1-10.
30. Michie S, Richardson M, Johnston M, et al. The Behavior Change Technique Taxonomy (v1) of 93 Hierarchically Clustered Techniques: Building an International Consensus for the Reporting of Behavior Change Interventions. *Annals of behavioral medicine: a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine* 2013.

31. Michie S, Abraham C, Eccles MP, Francis JJ, Hardeman W, Johnston M. Strengthening evaluation and implementation by specifying components of behaviour change interventions: a study protocol. *Implement Sci* 2011; **6**(10): 10.
32. Abraham C, Michie S. A taxonomy of behavior change techniques used in interventions. *Health Psychol* 2008; **27**(3): 379.
33. Whitlock EP, Orleans CT, Pender N, Allan J. Evaluating primary care behavioral counseling interventions: An evidence-based approach 1 1The full text of this article is available via AJPM Online at www.ajpm-online.net. *American journal of preventive medicine* 2002; **22**(4): 267-84.
34. Prince SA, Adamo KB, Hamel ME, Hardt J, Gorber SC, Tremblay M. A comparison of direct versus self-report measures for assessing physical activity in adults: a systematic review. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 2008; **5**(1): 56.
35. Igwesi-Chidobe CN, Godfrey EL, Kengne AP. Effective components of exercise and physical activity-related behaviour-change interventions for chronic non-communicable diseases in Africa: protocol for a systematic mixed studies review with meta-analysis. *BMJ open* 2015; **5**(8): e008036.
36. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Ann Intern Med* 2009; **151**(4): 264-9.
37. Pienaar E, Grobler L, Busgeeth K, Eisinga A, Siegfried N. Developing a geographic search filter to identify randomised controlled trials in Africa: finding the optimal balance between sensitivity and precision. *Health Information & Libraries Journal* 2011; **28**(3): 210-5.
38. Hoy D, March L, Brooks P, et al. Measuring the global burden of low back pain. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Rheumatology* 2010; **24**(2): 155-65.
39. Pluye P, Gagnon M-P, Griffiths F, Johnson-Lafleur J. A scoring system for appraising mixed methods research, and concomitantly appraising qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods primary studies in Mixed Studies Reviews. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2009; **46**(4): 529-46.
40. Pluye P, Hong QN. Combining the power of stories and the power of numbers: mixed methods research and mixed studies reviews. *Public Health* 2014; **35**(1): 29.
41. Barnett-Page E, Thomas J. Methods for the synthesis of qualitative research: a critical review. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2009; **9**(1): 1.
42. Thomas J, Harden A. Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2008; **8**(1): 1.
43. Thomas J, Harden A. Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2008; **8**(1): 45.
44. van der Does AM, Mash R. Evaluation of the "Take Five School": an education programme for people with Type 2 Diabetes in the Western Cape, South Africa. *Primary care diabetes* 2013; **7**(4): 289-95.
45. Muller AM, Alley S, Schoeppe S, Vandelanotte C. The effectiveness of e- & mHealth interventions to promote physical activity and healthy diets in developing countries: A systematic review. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 2016; **13**.
46. Debussche X, Rollot O, Le Pommelet C, et al. Quarterly individual outpatients lifestyle counseling after initial inpatients education on type 2 diabetes: the REDIA Prev-2 randomized controlled trial in Reunion Island. *Diabetes Metab* 2012; **38**(1): 46-53.
47. Rotheram-Borus MJ, Tomlinson M, Gwegwe M, Comulada W, Kaufman N, Keim M. Diabetes buddies: Peer support through a mobile phone buddy system. *The Diabetes Educator* 2012; **38**(3): 357-65.
48. Serfontein S, Mash RJ. Views of patients on a group diabetes education programme using motivational interviewing in South African primary care: A qualitative study. *South African Family Practice* 2013; **55**(5): 453-8.
49. Balcou-Debussche M, Debussche X. Hospitalization for type 2 diabetes: the effects of the suspension of reality on patients' subsequent management of their condition. *Qual Health Res* 2009; **19**(8): 1100-15.

50. Baecke JA, Burema J, Frijters J. A short questionnaire for the measurement of habitual physical activity in epidemiological studies. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 1982; **36**(5): 936-42.
51. Toobert DJ, Hampson SE, Glasgow RE. The summary of diabetes self-care activities measure: results from 7 studies and a revised scale. *Diabetes Care* 2000; **23**(7): 943-50.
52. Roberts AL, Fisher A, Smith L, Heinrich M, Potts HW. Digital health behaviour change interventions targeting physical activity and diet in cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship* 2017; **11**(6): 704-19.
53. Michie S, Abraham C, Whittington C, McAteer J, Gupta S. Effective techniques in healthy eating and physical activity interventions: a meta-regression. *Health Psychol* 2009; **28**(6): 690.
54. Gurlan M, Bernard P, Bortolon C, et al. Efficacy of theory-based interventions to promote physical activity. A meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Health psychology review* 2016; **10**(1): 50-66.
55. Beinart NA, Goodchild CE, Weinman JA, Ayis S, Godfrey EL. Individual and intervention-related factors associated with adherence to home exercise in chronic low back pain: a systematic review. *The Spine Journal* 2013; **13**(12): 1940-50.
56. Aitken D, Buchbinder R, Jones G, Winzenberg T. Interventions to improve adherence to exercise for chronic musculoskeletal pain in adults. *Aust Fam Physician* 2015; **44**(1/2): 39.
57. Jordan JL, Holden MA, Mason EE, Foster NE. Interventions to improve adherence to exercise for chronic musculoskeletal pain in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010; (1): CD005956.
58. McLean SM, Burton M, Bradley L, Littlewood C. Interventions for enhancing adherence with physiotherapy: a systematic review. *Manual Ther* 2010; **15**(6): 514-21.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Flow diagram of the selection process of studies for inclusion in the review